

Watercredit 3.0:
The Platform Effect
From building individuals to
building institutions

Key Issues

The miple com/

• \$1B people without water

• \$2.5B people without sanitation

Current models have high costs and are not scalable

High finance costs

· Human costs: health, education, dignity

The Vision

- 10,000 global co-ops facilitating service provision and delivery
 - Dynamic, responsive institutions that change based on needs, and are less stagnant than one-off projects and dependencies on individual initiatives over time
 - Carrying more than just water in the future working within health, sewage, electricity, education as efficient mechanism of devolutionary powers.
 - 100M people with access to clean water and sanitation in 5 years

Existing Model

- Man nipiecom/
 - Capitalizes on success of MFIs
 - Scalable and takes advantage of Network Effects
 - Opportunities for outside Capital
 - Provides multiple opportunities for other services and growth.

Solutions: Reaching the Vision

- Build platform not products, based on existing local networks
- Aggregrate demand and create a market for aggregate supply solutions
 - lower the cost
 - create entrepreneurial solutions

Example Model: Sequencing, Priorities, and Features

- Slum-based models and village based models
- Phasing
 - 1. Central Tap
 - 2. Extend the branches to households
 - 3. Once the branches installed, waste-water collection follows (less initial demand for sanitation)
 - Use networks to extend to sanitation
 - Phase sanitation as well: community options
 - Women collectors

Platform Structure

- Challenge How do we attract capital to a Co-operative to achieve scale?
- The Structure of the Co-operative Funding (a Water bank for the Community)
 - The Co-Op organized on the natural demographic or geography (one Bank for a slum = Dharavi, or a tribe = Kikuyu).
 - The Co-Op has individual portfolios consisting of people living in a village or in a ward of the slum.
 - **1st cushion**: The Water.Org gives the equity tranche or the first loss tranche
 - **2nd cushion**: Expatriate Capital. community tapped to provide funding, e.g. Kenyans living in Willesdon. They can invest in the Water Cooperative that serves their families and tribes/communities
 - **3rd cushion:** Then the third tier is provided by Philanthropic Capital.
 - 4th Tier: Then is the private capital inserted on the top of all the cushions.

Risk Reduction to attract private capital

The private capital is incentivized due to reduction in risk as a result of:

- The lending of the Co-operative is to individual portfolios of wards/village and there are two levels of cross-default
- Cross default within the portfolio/ward/village
- Cross default across portfolios

HEB III nipiecom/

Key Challenges

- Acquiring financing beyond philanthropic capital
- Mobilizing community action...organizing sustainable platforms
- Respecting local norms and culture
 - New innovations affect community dynamics



Next Steps/Actions

- Phase I: Identify 3-5 slums
- Criteria
 - Dense population
 - Homogenous community
- Phase II: Scale to 10-20 slums
 - · Criteria expands: homogeneity may be expanded